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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Transcatheter closure of ostium secundum Atrial Septal Defect (ASD) is a safe and effective procedure. But complications like erosion, cardiac perforation, atrioventricular block, pericardial effusion, infective endocarditis, or cardiac arrhythmias may occur following ASD device closure.

Methods: One hundred patients with ostium secundum ASD were studied in a tertiary medical Centre. They were followed up for one year to assess complications of ASD device closure. Patients with significant left to right shunt (> 1.5:1), right ventricular volume overload were selected for device closure. Patients having suitable anatomy and without significant pulmonary arterial hypertension were chosen for device closure.

Results: There were sixty eight male patients and thirty two female patients in our study. Four patients had Eisenmenger syndrome. Sixteen patients went for surgical closure. Majority of our patients (twenty eight) were in thirty to forty years age group. Thirty six patients had device size of thirty to forty millimeter, thirty two patients had twenty to thirty millimeter device, twelve patients were having more than forty millimeter device. Device embolization occurred in two patients. There were four cases of pericardial effusion and pericardiocentesis was needed in two patients. Transient complete heart block was seen in two patients. Four patients had suffered from transient and self-terminating atrial arrhythmias. There was no mortality in our study.

Conclusion: Transcatheter closure of ASDs is safe and effective procedure in one year follow up study. Complications after device closure is rare. Proper selection of patients was key to the successful procedure.
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INTRODUCTION

Atrial septal defects (ASD) is a common congenital heart disease and it accounts for approximately 10% of all congenital heart defects in children. The prevalence of ASD is 1 / 1000 live births [1]. There are many types of ASDs-Ostium secundum, Ostium premium, Sinus venosus and Coronary sinus type of ASD. Ostium secundum type ASDs constitute for 75% of all ASDs and it is amenable to device closure. Hemodynamically significant ASD can cause right ventricular failure, atrial arrhythmia, paradoxical embolization and pulmonary arterial hypertension. The mortality rate of untreated, hemodynamically significant ASD is approximately 25% [2]. Historically, the standard treatment for patients with ASDs has always been surgical closure through a median sternotomy using cardiopulmonary bypass. The first successful surgical closure of an ASD was performed by F. John Lewis in 1952. Transcatheter closure of ostium secundum ASD is a safe and effective procedure. The first ASD device closure was performed by King and Mills in 1974. Advantages for device closure include avoidance of a sternotomy scar and cardiopulmonary bypass, less post-operative discomfort, and shorter hospital stay. The devices most commonly used are Amplatzer Septal Occluder (ASO; nickel and titanium alloy wire mesh, polyester fabric filling, US Food and Drug Administration approved in 2001) and the helix occluder (nitinol wire covered with an ultrathin polytetrafluoroethylene membrane, US Food and Drug Administration approved in 2001) and the helex occluder. But device closure is not free of complications. There may be anatomic, patient-related, and procedural variables that are associated with an increased risk of complications in patients undergoing ASD device closure. Major early adverse events occurred in 1.2% of the cases. Some of the documented risks of ASD device closure include device embolization (1%), temporary or permanent tachyarrhythmia and heart block (0.3%), erosions (0.28%), thromboembolic complications, fractures, valve injury, pericardial effusion, infections and mortality (0.05%). Device embolization is the most common adverse event (0.2%-1%) [3]. Surgical closure is preferred approach for large ASDs. There is a paucity of data on transcatheter closure of large ASDs, especially using the 40-mm devices. Our goal was to study complications of ASD device closure particularly large devices.

METHODS

One hundred consecutive patients with ostium secundum ASD were studied from January, 2018 to October 2019. Study was done in a tertiary care University hospital. Study protocol was ethically approved and informed consents were taken from patients. Patients with only ostium secundum ASDs were chosen because they were amenable to device closure if indicated. Our objective was to study complications of ASD device closure. All procedures were done under conscious sedation and Transeosophageal Echocardiography guidance (TEE). Balloon sizing was not done in any of the cases. All cases were selected based on both transthoracic and trans esophageal echocardiographic evaluation. Cardiac catheterization was done in twelve patients for proper assessment of pulmonary arterial pressure and pulmonary vascular resistance.

Selection criteria: Age more than eighteen years Ostium secundum ASD. Evidence of right ventricular volume overload Significant left to right shunt (> 1.5:1) No significant pulmonary arterial hypertension (pulmonary artery systolic pressure less than half of systemic arterial pressure) Anatomical suitability of device closure. Minimum follow up period was one year.

Statistical analysis: It was an prospective, observational epidemiological study. Results are expressed in absolute numbers and percentage only.

RESULTS

Sixty eight patients were male and thirty two patients were female. Male female ratio in our study was 2:1 (Figure 1). Four patients were suffering from Eisenmenger syndrome (4%) and they were kept on medical therapy. Sixteen patients have undergone surgical closure (16%). Twelve patients had deficient rims and they were not selected. Four patients had defect size of more than forty four mm, so they were not taken for device closure (Figure 2). Maximum device size available was 46 mm.

Majority of our device therapy patients (twenty eight patients) were in between thirty to forty years age group (35%). Twenty four
patients were in forty to fifty six years age group (30%). There were sixteen patients in fifty to sixty years age group (20%) and twelve patients were in eighteen to thirty years age group (15%) (Figure 3).

Eighty patients had undergone device closure (80%). All cases devices were deployed successfully. First patient was enrolled in Jan, 2018. Last patient was included in October, 2018. Majority of our patients had large devices. All patients were having Life Tech devices. Twelve patients had device size more than 40 mm (15%). Thirty to forty mm devices were used in thirty six patients (45%). Thirty two patients had device size less than 30 mm (40%) (Figure 4).

There was no mortality in device closure or surgically treated group. Even in our follow up of one year no patient died. We have no attrition in our follow up. But complications did happen in our procedure. There were two incidence of device embolization (2.5%). Both cases device size were 42 mm and 44 mm respectively. We have experienced two cases of transient complete heart block in peri procedure period (2.5%). Device size was 34 mm in one patient and in another case it was 38 mm. complete heart block persisted for two minutes in one patient and for eight minutes in second patient. There was spontaneous recovery in both patients but temporary pacemaker support was kept for twenty four hours. Coronary angiography was done immediately and there was no impingement on right coronary or left circumflex artery. Pericardial effusion were observed in four patients (5%) (Table 1). It was 10 -12 mm in two patents and did not require any pericardiocentesis. Effusion subsided of its own in follow up. But there were moderate to massive effusion in two patients with haemodynamic compromise. Pericardiocentesis was done immediately and both the patients recovered uneventfully. There was no recurrent pericardial collection. There were four cases of atrial arrhythmias during device closure (5%). Atrial fibrillation occurred in two patients and atrial flutter in two patients. Atrial flutter and fibrillation did not last long. All arrhythmias subsided without any pharmacological or electrical cardioversion. There was no haemodynamic instability. Only one patient had haemoglobinuria in our study (1.25%).

### Table 1: Complication of ASD closure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of complication</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Embolization</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pericardial effusion</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete heart block</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial arrhythmia</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoglobinuria</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### DISCUSSION

Atrial septal defect is a common congenital heart disease with incidence of 1.0/1,000 live births. Atrial septal defects are classified into ostium primum, ostium secundum, sinus venosus and coronary sinus types. ASD constitutes about 10% of congenital heart diseases. Among the various types of ASDs only the ostium secundum defect is amenable to device closure. All four types can be closed surgically. King and Mills, in 1974, originally described feasibility of ASD device closure [5].

Untreated ASD can cause right ventricular overload with right heart failure, atrial arrhythmias, pulmonary arterial hypertension, systemic embolism and death. Transcatheter closure has now become the standard treatment strategy for ostium secundum ASD if there is suitable anatomy. A recent study comparing 4,606 percutaneous procedures and 3,159 surgical ASD closures at 35 children’s hospitals in USA showed that transcatheter closure was as safe as surgery and provided better short-term value when compared with surgical closure [6].
Device closure is indicated for ostium secundum ASD patients if rims are suitable and there is significant left to right shunt (> 1.5:1) without any evidence of significant pulmonary arterial hypertension (pulmonary artery systolic pressure less than half of systemic arterial pressure). Right ventricular volume overload indicates (pulmonary artery systolic pressure less than half of systemic arterial pressure). Right ventricular volume overload indicates right ventricular volume overload. Both atrioventriculare (AV) valve lesions and atrial septal defect are key to success. Ablation of both AV valves and ASD may be increased. A retrospective study of six hundred and ten ASD devices consisted of two discs made of nitinol (nickel and titanium alloy) mesh. Patients may experience nitinol allergy. None of our patients experienced that. Reaction may occur from 2 days to up to 1 month after implantation. It may manifest as headaches, rash, urticaria, difficulty in breathing, fever, or pericardial effusion. It usually responds to medical management. In rare instances, if medical management fails, the devices may need to be explanted [15].

There were no incidence of air embolism, thrombus formation or infection in our study. The FDA analyses of the MAUDE Medical-Device Reports (MDR) showed 0.8% incidence of infection or endocarditis [16].

Haemoglobinuria may occur in device closure patients. This is due to turbulence at the device site. Haemoglobinuria occurred in one of our patient and device size was thirty six millimeter [17].

Device embolization is a known phenomenon. Incidence varies between 1 to 3% [18]. Proper evaluation of rims by transesophageal echocardiography is extremely important. Failure of rim assessment and large device size are two important factors for device embolization. Percutaneous retrieval is possible in many cases but surgical help should be sought in failed cases. In our study it happened in two patients. Both the cases we failed to retrieve them percutaneously. Devices size were 42 mm and 44 mm respectively. They went for surgical retrieval and closure successfully. In one case inferior vena caval rim was not adequate and other case Eustachian valve was mistaken as inferior vena caval rim. These were surgical finding in two patients. There were twenty one device embolizations out of 3,824 implants (0.55%) in USA in 2003. Fifteen were retrieved using a transcatheter approach (71.4%) and six were retrieved surgically (28.5%) [19]. In another study device was retrieved surgically in 77.2% of cases and by transcatheter approach in 16.7% of cases. There were 2 deaths related to embolization [20].

There were four cases of pericardial effusion in our study. Pericardiocentesis was required in two patients. Mechanisms of pericardial effusion is unclear. It could be due to terumo wire induced perforation of pulmonary vein or left atrial appendage. Terumo wire was used to cross the defect and to guide delivery sheath to pulmonary veins. Device erosion was another possibility. Cardiac CT was done in all four cases to find out the site of perforation but nothing could be found in post-operative period.

Atrial arrhythmia was observed in perioperative period in four patients. Two cases of atrial flutter and two cases of atrial fibrillation were seen in our study. All of them were self-terminating and did not produce any haemodynamic instability. These are may be due to guidewire, sheath or device induced irritation of atrial wall. In the MAUDE analysis, arrhythmias were seen in five percent of patients. There is a concern that device closure of ASD may preclude future electrophysiology procedures that require transseptal access [21].

CONCLUSION

Transcatheter closure of ASDs is safe and effective procedure in one year follow up study. Complications after device closure is rare. There was no mortality in our study. Proper assessment of rims and defect size are key to success.

LIMITATIONS

It is an observation study. Study population size is small. Both
type 1 and type 2 error may occur in interpretation as it is a small single center study.
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