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Recurrent Vaginal Metastases after Cytoreductive Nephrectomy and Target Therapy with Sunitinib: Case Report
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Renal cell carcinoma is a malignant neoplasm that originates from the renal tubular epithelium. Comprises approximately 2-3% of all adult cancers and has the highest mortality of all genitourinary cancers.

Methods: A 57-year-old, female, presents hematuria in August 2017. A CT Scan reveals right renal mass a right radical nephrectomy was performed. Initiates Sunitinib 50 mg per day, with partial response.

Results: In May 2019 presents vaginal bleeding, physical examination shows a vaginal mass, located in the lower third on the anterior wall, histopathological examination of metastasectomy reports poor differentiated clear cell carcinoma. In April 2020 recurrent bleeding was present, a new vaginal mass was seen, and totally resected with histopathological report of poor differentiated clear cell carcinoma. Immunohistochemistry was not performed in either case.

Conclusion: A clinical case of mRCC is described, developing clinical trials will provide new evidence in order to modify current guidelines in the management of kidney cancer.
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ABBREVIATIONS

CT: Computed Tomography; mRCC: Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma

INTRODUCTION

Renal Cell Carcinoma (RCC) is a malignant neoplasm that originates from the renal tubular epithelium. The classic presentation of RCC is flank pain, hematuria, and a palpable abdominal mass. However, this presentation is uncommon and occurs in less than 10% of affected individuals [1]. Risk factors include End-Stage Renal Disease (ESRD), Acquired Cystic Kidney Disease (ACKD), smoking, hypertension, occupational exposure and genetic factors, among others. There are approximately 400,000 newly diagnosed cases of kidney cancer each year, with RCC accounting for the vast majority of cases. RCC comprises approximately 2-3% of all adult cancers and has the highest mortality of all genitourinary cancers. It has an indolent course in many patients, one third of patients already have advanced disease that is locally invasive or metastatic at presentation, and remains an important cause of cancer-related death with a 5-year survival rate of approximately 8% [2,3].

The most common metastatic sites are described in table 1. Among the unusual sites of metastasis, the vagina is a rare localization; only a little number of cases has been reported in the literature. The tumoral bleeding is a symptom present in 6 to 10% of patients. Such hemorrhagic events may decrease quality of life, require hospitalization, and in extreme cases lead to death. The hemostatic radiotherapy has appeared relevant as a therapeutic strategy in palliative supportive care, being an indication in case of tumoral bleeding [4].

It is postulated that retrograde venous flow via the ovarian vein facilitates seeding of the vaginal mucosa from the kidneys, making left sided RCC more likely to result in vaginal metastasis due to aberrant flow between the left ovarian vein and the left renal vein. Radiological studies have observed flux in venous flow in patients with RCC accompanied by vaginal metastasis. The individual under consideration presented with vaginal cancer in the setting of left-sided RCC, further adding to the legitimacy of this unique mechanism of spread. Regardless of etiology, vaginal cancer presents with bleeding that can be minimal to profuse [5].

In order to provide the best treatment for patients with metastatic RCC based on the current literature, we discuss the management employed a 57 years old woman with vaginal metastasis 2 years after cytoreductive nephrectomy follow by target therapy.

CASE PRESENTATION

A 57-year-old, female, non-current smoker (2 cigarettes daily for forty two years), presents hematuria in August 2017. Past medical history was unremarkable. An ultrasound shows a suspicious ectasia in the right kidney and no lithiasis (Figure 1), therefore requesting a CT Scan which reveals right renal mass, heterogeneous, augmented vascularity, changes in the perirenal fat and involvent of the right renal vein. Multiple pulmonary nodules, measuring up to 10 mm, were observed on imaging. A right radical nephrectomy was performed in November 2017. The tumor was attached to renal hilus, inferior vena cava, liver, psosas muscle, and right colon. Pathologic examination confirmed the Clear Cell Renal Carcinoma (ccRCC), Furhman grade was 2. The tumor invaded into the perinephric fat tissue, measuring 13.0 x 11.0 x 8.0 cm. A diagnosis of metastatic ccRCC was made. The final pathological stage was pT3a pNx pM1. In January 2018 initiates first-line treatment, Sunitinib 50 mg per day, four treatment weeks and two rest weeks, titrating the dose due to side effects (Grade 2 Mucositis). Pulmonary nodes surveillance with CT Scan and PET/CT with full radiologic response. In May 2019 presents vaginal bleeding, physical examination shows a vaginal mass, located in the lower third on the anterior wall, measuring approximately 2.0 x 2.0 cm in size. Biopsy was performed with histopathology. Metastases of clear cell carcinoma (Figure 2). She was referred for metastasectomy,

Table 1: Most common sites of mRCC by percentage by authors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>45.2 %</td>
<td>50 - 60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone</td>
<td>29.5 %</td>
<td>30 - 40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node</td>
<td>21.8 %</td>
<td>15 - 30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>20.3 %</td>
<td>28 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adrenal</td>
<td>8.9 %</td>
<td>10 - 15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>8.1 %</td>
<td>10 - 13 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>13.4 %*</td>
<td>13.8 %*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

40 - 50% with ≥ 2 metastatic sites
*Includes small intestine, large intestine, other metastases in the digestive system, ovary, etc.

*Thyroid, parotid, stomach, gallbladder, pancreas, muscle, skin, ovary, etc.

Abbreviations: mRCC: Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma
Microscopic examination: A) An epithelial-like lesion with solid mantles, separated by thin-walled vascular structures (H&E, x5). B) Round to polygonal cells with abundant cytoplasm, the nuclei are mostly medium in size but alternate nuclei with accentuated pleomorphism (arrow), with prominent nucleoli and figures of mitosis (H&E, x40).

Figure 1: Ultrasound previous to surgery: Images of the right kidney demonstrates a large mass with mixed echogenicity in the lower pole of the right kidney, measuring 146x97x121 mm, longitudinal, anteroposterior and transversal axis. Dilated renal pelvis up to 11.5 mm.

Figure 2: Microscopic examination: A) An epithelial-like lesion with solid mantles, separated by thin-walled vascular structures (H&E, x5). B) Round to polygonal cells with abundant cytoplasm, the nuclei are mostly medium in size but alternate nuclei with accentuated pleomorphism (arrow), with prominent nucleoli and figures of mitosis (H&E, x40).

The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) recommends individualizing cytoreductive nephrectomy based on symptoms and extent of metastatic disease. Generally, patients who would be candidates for Cytoreductive Nephrectomy (CN) prior to systemic therapy per NCCN are patients with excellent performance status and no brain metastasis. Patients with metastatic disease who present with hematuria or a symptomatic primary tumor should be offered palliative nephrectomy if they are surgical candidates present with hematuria or a symptomatic primary tumor should be candidates for Cytoreductive Nephrectomy (CN) prior to treatment with sunitinib (Overall survival CN + Sunitinib 15.6 months vs 19.8 months in the only Sunitinib arm). The findings of this study, which included patients with intermediate−poor-risk disease, emphasize the importance of patient selection for cytoreductive nephrectomy [8]. Table 2 describes the Stage-based survival percentage by years. Despite the heterogeneity of evaluated studies, ultimately, several genetic mutations have been identified as being associated with differential clinical outcomes with different targeted therapies and immunotherapies. Sunitinib malate, an oral, multitargeted receptor Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor (TKI), has been the gold-standard first-line treatment for mRCC for the past 12 years [2,9].

The renal cell carcinoma has the capability to metastasize throughout the body, sometimes without preexisting knowledge of the primary tumor. Although the morphologic appearance of classic clear-cell renal cell carcinoma (ccRCC) is distinctive in the kidney, histologic recognition of that entity can sometimes be difficult elsewhere [10]. The histologic differences between primary vaginal clear cell carcinomas and metastatic ccRCC vaginal lesions are subtle and differentiation in a small biopsy can be challenging. Typically vaginal Clear Cell Carcinoma (CCC) show variable morphologic patterns including solid, tubulocystic, and papillary, with presence of hobnail cells. ccRCC characteristically shows alveolar, acinar, and nested patterns and papillary architecture is not a feature of ccRCC in addition, a prominent network of branching small, thin-walled blood vessels is characteristic and diagnostically helpful. Therefore, due to the presence of extensive overlapping morphologic features between both tumors, it is recommended to have the kidneys evaluated in cases of vaginal neoplasms with clear cell features. This examination would thus prevent a misdiagnosis of a primary vaginal adenocarcinoma. Immunohistochemistry is helpful in differentiating these entities as CA-IX and CD10 are most frequently expressed in ccRCC than Mullerian CCC, whereas CK7, Napsin-A, and methylacyl-coenzyme-A racemase (AMACR) show a reverse pattern of expression. Although PAX8 is a very important marker for the diagnosis of mRCC, it is also expressed in CCC of Mullerian origin and therefore is of no use in distinguishing a renal versus a vaginal primary [1,3].

Immunohistochemistry stands out, complementary to histopathological diagnosis by morphology only, since due to a common embryological origin, is not entirely possible to determine a metastatic nature of the lesion or a second primary, being antibodies reported by multiple authors, therefore immunohistochemistry being mandatory. A second primary, CCC of the vagina, should be treated as followed: The type of surgical therapy is also chosen based on the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>1 year (%)</th>
<th>2 years (%)</th>
<th>3 years (%)</th>
<th>4 years (%)</th>
<th>5 years (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>95.4</td>
<td>92.2</td>
<td>88.7</td>
<td>85.1</td>
<td>80.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>93.9</td>
<td>88.8</td>
<td>83.1</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>73.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>83.6</td>
<td>72.3</td>
<td>64.9</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>53.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Stage-based survival percentage by years in patients with RCC

**Abbreviations:** RCC: Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma; AJCC: American Joint Committee on Cancer

**DISCUSSION**

The renal cell carcinoma has the capability to metastasize throughout the body, sometimes without preexisting knowledge of the primary tumor. Although the morphologic appearance of classic clear-cell renal cell carcinoma (ccRCC) is distinctive in the kidney, histologic recognition of that entity can sometimes be difficult elsewhere [10]. The histologic differences between primary vaginal clear cell carcinomas and metastatic ccRCC vaginal lesions are subtle and differentiation in a small biopsy can be challenging. Typically vaginal Clear Cell Carcinoma (CCC) show variable morphologic patterns including solid, tubulocystic, and papillary, with presence of hobnail cells. ccRCC characteristically shows alveolar, acinar, and nested patterns and papillary architecture is not a feature of ccRCC in addition, a prominent network of branching small, thin-walled blood vessels is characteristic and diagnostically helpful. Therefore, due to the presence of extensive overlapping morphologic features between both tumors, it is recommended to have the kidneys evaluated in cases of vaginal neoplasms with clear cell features. This examination would thus prevent a misdiagnosis of a primary vaginal adenocarcinoma. Immunohistochemistry is helpful in differentiating these entities as CA-IX and CD10 are most frequently expressed in ccRCC than Mullerian CCC, whereas CK7, Napsin-A, and methylacyl-coenzyme-A racemase (AMACR) show a reverse pattern of expression. Although PAX8 is a very important marker for the diagnosis of mRCC, it is also expressed in CCC of Mullerian origin and therefore is of no use in distinguishing a renal versus a vaginal primary [1,3].

Immunohistochemistry stands out, complementary to histopathological diagnosis by morphology only, since due to a common embryological origin, is not entirely possible to determine a metastatic nature of the lesion or a second primary, being antibodies reported by multiple authors, therefore immunohistochemistry being mandatory. A second primary, CCC of the vagina, should be treated as followed: The type of surgical therapy is also chosen based on the
site and range of occurrence of the primary lesion. At this time the physician must consider both removal of the primary lesion and regional lymph nodes simultaneously. In particular, in the case of vaginal cancer occurring in the upper third of the vagina, surgical therapy consisting of hysterectomy extended to the vagina is a good option, nevertheless in this case, lesion was 2 cm up from the introitus, so hysterectomy and vaginectomy was not indicated, neither pelvic exenteration.

The surveillance using PET/CT in the current work does not detect the vaginal mass as hypermetabolic activity. The vaginal bleeding and foreign body sensation, described by the patient, guides the clinical diagnosis. The necessity of PET/CT remains in doubt, whether conventional CT scan can be sufficient as a follow-up imaging tool. Colposcopy is not indicated for follow-up, unless specific symptoms such as pain or vaginal bleeding are present.

In the literature, possible hematogenous dissemination pathways of RCC to the vagina are described, ruling out that exact mechanism is still unknown [11]. In consideration of the authors, these dissemination routes consist only as historical background, since current advances in genomics describe gene mutations in the appearance of renal cell carcinoma in distant organs as well, according to Bracarda S, et al. [12].

In regards of the reviewed literature, Rehailia-Blanchard, et al. described the employment of radiotherapy in their case report as hemostatic therapy, and in addition to Sunitinib evidenced a 40% decrease of the vaginal metastasis, with a concomitant bleeding stop. Tselis and Chatzikonstantinou in their analysis highlighted the substantial limitations of the various studies including the use of non-conformal RT techniques, inappropriate dosing, and outdated technology, concluding the need for multiinstitutional trials to investigate the additional benefits of adjuvant RT regarding overall technology, concluding the need for multiinstitutional trials to investigate the additional benefits of adjuvant RT regarding overall survival along with targeted therapy, concluding the addition of RT to immunotherapy may potentiate the generation of antitumor immune responses, which could treat existing metastases as well as prevent future metastases [13].

CONCLUSION

A clinical case of RCC is described, with pulmonary nodules, therefore, metastatic at the time of the diagnosis, despite cytoreductive nephrectomy and target therapy, subsequent vaginal metastasis appear, completely resected. Developing clinical trials will provide new evidence in order to modify current guidelines in the management of kidney cancer.
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