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ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate dietary calcium intake and its relationship with anthropometric measures, calcium metabolism and glucose profile in adolescents.

Methods: Cross-sectional study of the observational character composed of 106 adolescents of both sexes. The anthropometric measures evaluated were weight, height and waist circumference. Nutritional status was classified by body mass index by age and sex. Dietary intake was assessed by the food registry 3-day and data were calculated using Avanutri® Software. For the evaluation of glucose and calcium metabolism, fasting blood glucose, glycated hemoglobin, insulin, serum calcium, vitamin D, and parathyroid hormone.

Results: Adolescents who consumed below 302 mg/day of calcium had a significantly higher mean of glycated hemoglobin (mean: 5.7% Vs. 5.3%; p=0.02) and insulin (mean: 29.8 μU/mL Vs 21.7 μU/mL; p = 0.05). It was found that the mean parathyroid hormone was significantly higher in adolescents who consumed below the 50th percentile of calcium 45.2 Vs 37.7 (p < 0.001). In overweight adolescents who consumed below 302 mg/ day presented higher values of body mass index (34.2 km/m² Vs 30.2 km/m²; p = 0.03) and a negative correlation between parathyroid hormone and calcium (r = 0.28; p = 0.01).

Conclusions: Adolescents who consumed below 302 mg/day of calcium had higher glycated hemoglobin and insulin and an increase in parathyroid hormone. In overweight, adolescents who consumed below 302 mg/ day of calcium had higher adiposity and a negative correlation with parathyroid hormone.
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INTRODUCTION

Calcium is the fifth most abundant element in the human body and is essential for life. It plays a fundamental role in many physiological processes, including skeletal mineralization [1]. Dietary calcium intake is one of the main determinants of calcium balance, particularly during adolescence, period of accumulation of bone mass, and it is of fundamental importance to evaluate the nutritional, environmental and social aspects involved in calcium intake [1,2].

Calcium homeostasis is largely regulated through an integrated hormonal system that controls the transport of calcium in the intestine, kidney and bone. It involves two calcium regulating hormones, vitamin D and Parathyroid Hormone (PTH) [3]. When a decrease in serum calcium occurs, it inactivates the calcium receptor in the parathyroid cell and increases the secretion of PTH, which restores serum calcium by activating the parathyroid receptor in the bone to increase calcium reabsorption and in the kidney to increase reabsorption of tubular calcium. In the kidney, increased secretion of PTH increases its calcium—restoring effect by increasing the secretion of calcitriol which, acting on the vitamin D receptor in the intestine, increases the active absorption of calcium and increases the reabsorption of calcium in the bone. This integrated hormonal response restores serum calcium and closes the negative feedback [1].

Studies have investigated calcium aid in the prevention and treatment of obesity. Modulation of adiposity by dietary calcium is mediated from calcitriol which regulates calcium flux in the adipocyte. One of the mechanisms of action and its low quality, which can stimulate the influx of calcium in the adipocytes, can promote lipogenesis and inhibit a lipolysis, increasing a synthesis of Fatty Acids (FA) and inhibiting the sensitive hormone lipase [4-9].

Calcitriol inhibits the expression of the uncoupling protein 2 (UCP2), responsible for the transport and mitochondrial oxidation of FA, which may contribute to the reduction of lipid oxidation and increase the accumulation of lipids with diets low in calcium [6,7]. Calcitriol also stimulates the expression of the 11-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase-1 enzyme, which catalyzes the conversion of cortisone to cortisol in adipocytes [6,10].

Another proposed mechanism would be that dietary calcium promotes the formation of insoluble soaps between calcium and FA in the gastrointestinal tract, or by the formation of precipitates with phosphate and bile acids, which results in a decrease in dietary digestible energy and increase in fecal excretion of fat [11,12].

Studies suggest that calcium metabolism and maybe other components of dairy products could contribute to altering energy balance and thus play a role in weight regulation, since the main risk factor for insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes is obesity [5,13,14].

In this context, the objective of this study was to evaluate the dietary calcium intake and its relationship with the anthropometric measures, the glycic profile and the hormones involved in the calcium homeostasis in adolescents.

METHODS

This is a descriptive cross-sectional study of observational character, whose convenience sample was composed of adolescents between 12 to 18 years, of both sexes, in ambulatory care of secondary attention in Núcleo de Estudos da Saúde do Adolescente (NESA)-Hospital Universitario Pedro Ernesto (HUPE) in Rio de Janeiro, from March 25, 2015 to September 30, 2016.

The adolescents who signed the free and informed consent form were included, with responsible permission. We excluded adolescents with hormonal or drug-induced diseases that could interfere with the absorption of dietary calcium, follow-up patients for rheumatological and renal diseases, patients with autoimmune diseases, patients with human immunodeficiency virus, presenting eating disorder, in use of dietary supplements, disabsorptive diseases.

Anthropometry was composed of measures of weight, height and waist circumference (WC). To measure the weight (kg) was used the digital scale of the brand the Micheliti®, with a precision of 0.1 kg and a maximum of 200 kg, with the barefoot adolescent, in light clothes, in an orthostatic position [15]. For stature (cm), it was used the stadiometer fixed to the wall of the Sanny® mark, with a precision of 0.1 cm, with the evaluated foot, barefoot, with the body in anatomical position and head parallel to the ground, according to the plane From Frankfurt [15].

Body Mass Index (BMI) was calculated using the weight (kg)/height (m²) relationship, an assessment of the nutritional status of the adolescents occurred through the requirements established by WHO,
and as well as a process as eutrophic that characterize BMI/I Between
the z-score ≥ - 2 and ≤ + 1, overweight between the z-score > + 1
and ≤ + 2 and obesity z-score ≥ + 2 [16]. Measurements WC were
performed using a flexible and inelastic tape with a 0.1 centimeter
scale at the midpoint between the last costal arch and the iliac crest
at the end of normal expiration. They were classified according to
the proposed Fernandez, et al. [17], being the WC elevated that ≥ to
the percentile 75. The Waist-to-Stature Ratio (WSR) was calculated by
dividing the WC (cm) by height (cm) and values ≥ 0.5 were indicative
of elevated [18].

The laboratory tests were: fasting glycemia, glycated hemoglobin
(HbA1c), insulin, calcium, vitamin D and PTH. The blood test was
performed with a previous fast of 12 hours.

The fast glycemia was measured enzymatic hexokinase method,
to HbA1c was used the high performance liquid chromatography
method; Insulin, serum PTH and vitamin D were measured by
the electrochemiluminescence method. For serum calcium, the
cresolphthalein method was used.

For the classification of dysglycemia, fasting blood glucose ≥ 100
mg/ dL, or presence of type 2 DM, as considered by the Guideline
of the Brazilian Society of Diabetes [19]. To evaluate the Insulin
Resistance (IR), the HOMA-IR (Homeostatic Model Assessment –
Insulin Resistance) through the formula: HOMA-IR = fasting blood
glucose (mmol/ dL) x Fasting insulinemia (μU/ mL)/ 22.5, values
above 3.16 was considered IR [20] and the, HbA1c ≥ 6.4%, insulin
>20 ng/ mL, insuffi cient 21-29 ng/ mL and adequate >30 ng/ mL [21].

RESULTS

A total of 106 patients participated in the study, of which 55 (52%)
were female and 51 (48%) were male, with a mean age of 14.4 ± 1.8
years. The studied population had 30 hypertensive patients (30.3%),
15 dysglycemia (14.3%) and 80 sedentary patients (76.2%).

According to the nutritional status, 55.7% (n = 59) were obese,
30.2% (n = 32) overweight and 14.1% (n = 15) eutrophic. The mean
BMI was 29.0 kg/ m² (SD ± 6.6) without statistical difference between
the sexes. The averages of the studied variables are presented in table
1.

When we analyzed the consumption, we observed that the
intake of calories and protein was higher in boys, and also of fibers
and magnesium (Table 2). The distribution of macronutrient intake
was adequate (total of the sample: carbohydrate 52%, protein 18%,
lipid 30%) in both sexes, being in the female: carbohydrate 53%; 18%
protein; lipid 29% and male: carbohydrate 54%; 18% protein; lipid
28% of the total energetic value of the diet. However, in relation to
fiber intake (female: 48%, male: 39%), magnesium (female: 40%,
male: 47%) and calcium (female: 100%, male: 98%) were inadequate
in both sexes and high sodium intake (female: 129%, male: 132%).

The median calcium intake of the population was 508.4 mg (IQ
373.5 mg - 682.5 mg), being lower in girls (470.1 mg; IQ: 339.5 mg
– 634.2 mg) than in boys (538.6 mg; IQ: 383.4 mg - 747.3 mg), but
without statistical difference (p = 0.06) (Table 2).

The study found that 7 (46.7%) eutrophic adolescents, 16 (50%)
overweight and 29 (48.3%) obese consumed below the median of
calcium intake, however no difference was observed statistically in
nutritional status in Calcium intake (p = 0.97).

About 22 (43%) male adolescents and 30 (54%) female sex
consume below the median of calcium, being more frequent in the
age of 12 to 14 years (n: 13; 42%) in males, the opposite Occurred in
females, being higher among the 15 to 17 year olds (n: 19; 58%).

In this study, adolescents who consumed less than the median
calcium had significantly higher parathormone levels (42.5 ng/ mL
vs 34.3 ng/ mL, p = 0.003). Regarding consumption, they presented a
reduced intake of fibers (13g Vs 16g; p = <0.001) and of magnesium
(152 mg Vs 193 mg; p = <0.001).

Adolescents who consumed below the 10th percentile (<302 mg
/ day) had a significantly higher mean Hb1AC (5.7% Vs 5.3%, p =
0.01) and insulin (29.8 μU/ mL Vs. 21.7 μU/ mL, p = 0.05) (Table 2)
and also presented lower intake of magnesium (112mg Vs 177mg; p<
0.001)(Table 3).

When we excluded eutrophic patients from the analysis, we
observed that overweight patients who consume below the 10th
percentile of calcium had significantly higher BMI values (p = 0.03)
(Table 4).

There was a statistically significant difference in Hb1AC (p =
0.019) in overweight patients who consumed less than the 10th
percentile of calcium. A negative correlation was found between PTH
and calcium (r = - 0.28; p = 0.018).

DISCUSSION

The estimated average daily calcium intake of the adolescents
studied is well below the current recommendations, but does not
differ much from the situation found among other populations
### Table 1: Mean values of the anthropometric and metabolic characteristics of adolescents stratified by sex.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Total (n= 106)</th>
<th>Female (n= 55)</th>
<th>Male (n= 51)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>14.4 (± 1.8)</td>
<td>14.7 (± 1.8)</td>
<td>14.1 (± 1.7)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>77.9 (± 21.7)</td>
<td>77.3 (± 21.2)</td>
<td>78.5 (± 22.5)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>1.63 (± 0.1)</td>
<td>1.61 (± 0.1)</td>
<td>1.66 (± 0.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>29.0 (± 6.6)</td>
<td>29.7 (± 6.9)</td>
<td>28.3 (± 6.3)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC (cm)</td>
<td>90.1 (± 15.7)</td>
<td>88.6 (± 15.1)</td>
<td>91.7 (± 16.3)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSR</td>
<td>0.55 (± 0.1)</td>
<td>0.55 (± 0.1)</td>
<td>0.55 (± 0.1)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycemia (mg/dL)</td>
<td>89.5 (± 13.6)</td>
<td>86.6 (± 10.1)</td>
<td>92.7 (± 16.2)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb1AC (%)</td>
<td>5.4 (± 0.6)</td>
<td>5.4 (± 0.5)</td>
<td>5.3 (± 0.5)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (μU/mL)</td>
<td>22.6 (± 13.8)</td>
<td>23.8 (± 15.3)</td>
<td>20.9 (± 11.4)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>4.1 (± 3.7)</td>
<td>4.5 (± 3.9)</td>
<td>3.8 (± 3.3)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25(OH)D (ng/mL)</td>
<td>28.1 (± 10.3)</td>
<td>28.5 (± 11.5)</td>
<td>27.7 (± 8.8)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTH (ng/mL)</td>
<td>38.3 (± 13.3)</td>
<td>38.3 (± 13.9)</td>
<td>38.8 (± 13.4)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


### Table 2: Estimated median total energy intake and nutrients stratified by sex.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Total (Median (IQR))</th>
<th>Female (Median (IQR))</th>
<th>Male (Median (IQR))</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy (kcal/day)</td>
<td>1909 (1545-2282)</td>
<td>1792 (1430-2163)</td>
<td>2027 (1766-2314)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate (g/day)</td>
<td>245 (207-302)</td>
<td>234 (189-295)</td>
<td>278 (224-307)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (g/day)</td>
<td>83 (65-104)</td>
<td>74 (62-98)</td>
<td>94 (75-109)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid (g/day)</td>
<td>61 (50-73)</td>
<td>58 (47-73)</td>
<td>66 (53-73)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fiber (g/day)</td>
<td>13 (11-18)</td>
<td>12 (10-17)</td>
<td>15 (11-19)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium (mg/day)</td>
<td>508 (373-682)</td>
<td>470 (339-634)</td>
<td>530 (383-747)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium (mg/day)</td>
<td>172 (124-207)</td>
<td>143 (114-192)</td>
<td>192 (161-210)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium (mg/day)</td>
<td>1948 (1516-3147)</td>
<td>1936 (1457-2377)</td>
<td>1972 (1567-2588)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IQR: Interquartile Range (IQR)

### Table 3: Anthropometric variables, biochemical, total energy intake and nutrients according to the calcium intake (10th percentile).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Consumption of Calcium</th>
<th>Mean (± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 302 mg/ dia (n= 10)</td>
<td>≥ 302 mg/ dia (n= 96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>14.7 (± 1.4)</td>
<td>14.4 (± 1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>80.5 (± 20.6)</td>
<td>77.6 (± 21.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>1.61 (± 0.1)</td>
<td>1.63 (± 0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>31.2 (± 7.8)</td>
<td>28.8 (± 6.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC (cm)</td>
<td>91.0 (± 15.7)</td>
<td>90.0 (± 15.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSR</td>
<td>0.57 (± 0.9)</td>
<td>0.55 (± 0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycemia (mg/dL)</td>
<td>86.2 (± 9.8)</td>
<td>89.9 (± 13.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb1AC (%)</td>
<td>5.7 (± 0.5)</td>
<td>5.3 (± 0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (μU/mL)</td>
<td>29.8 (± 14.2)</td>
<td>21.7 (± 13.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>5.2 (± 3.9)</td>
<td>4.0 (± 3.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25(OH)D (ng/mL)</td>
<td>22.9 (± 8.6)</td>
<td>28.7 (± 10.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTH (ng/mL)</td>
<td>45.2 (± 16.7)</td>
<td>37.7 (± 13.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total energy intake and nutrients | Median (IQR)
| Energy (kcal/day)     | 1325 (1047-1577) | 1960 (1671-2314) | <0.001  |
| Carbohydrate (g/day)  | 161 (125-221)    | 260 (223-307)    | 0.004   |
| Protein (g/day)       | 62 (59-69)       | 85 (67-105)      | 0.01    |
of adolescents. Several studies that evaluated calcium intake by adolescents found an intake lower than that recommended by DRI [23-28].

In addition to the inadequacy of calcium, it was also verified of fibers and magnesium. This finding is consistent with other studies that verified low ingestion as Martyn-Nemeth, et al. [28] found that mean fiber and magnesium intake were below recommended levels for age and sex. When analyzing adolescents with lower calcium intake, also showed lower intakes of fiber, selected vitamins (riboflavin, folate, vitamin A and vitamin D) and mineral ingestion (Magnesium, phosphorus, potassium, iron, and zinc)[23]. The low intake of fiber and magnesium may reflect a low consumption of fruits, vegetables and grains. Pereira, et al. [29] found a positive association between calcium intake or dairy products and lower body fat. Zemel, et al. [4], study of Carruth & Skinner [30] found a relationship between higher calcium intake or dairy products and lower body fat. Zemel, et al. [4], appeared with the hypothesis of calcium action in the stimulation of lipolysis and inhibition of lipogenesis due to the increase in the production of PTH and vitamin D. In addition, it was identified the probable contribution of calcium in reducing energy efficiency due to favoring thermogenesis and increased fecal excretion of fatty acids in view of the formation of insoluble soaps in the intestine [5].

The study of Tylavsky, et al. [23] also found that African-American adolescents who presented lower calcium intake had a higher percentage of fat mass in relation to the groups that had intermediate and greater consumption. When analyzing five clinical studies of calcium intake to investigate associations between calcium intake and body weight, found that a calcium intake difference of 1000 mg is associated with a difference of less than 8 kg in mean body weight [31].

Adolescents who consumed below the 10th percentile of calcium had higher values of Hb1AC and insulin. Santos, et al. [32] found an inverse correlation between calcium intake and trunk fat (r = - 0.287, P = 0.04), insulin concentration (r = -0.360 p = 0.01) and insulin resistance measured by HOMA-IR (r = -0.365, p = 0.01). The study of Alarcon, et al. [33] found that children classified with insulin resistance had lower intakes of calcium. When evaluating patients with obesity, found that participants on a high milk diet had a significant increase in insulin sensitivity, as reductions in both circulating insulin (44%) as in the area under the glucose tolerance curve (27%) [34]. Pereira, et al. [29]. In their study also found that milk consumption was inversely associated with the incidence of all components of insulin resistance syndrome among overweight individuals. Adolescence is a period of risk for the onset of insulin resistance, due to a physiological reduction in insulin sensitivity that is compensated by the increase in its secretion, and is exacerbated by adiposity [35,36]. Excess weight is associated with increased risk of developing insulin resistance, but not all individuals with obesity will develop glucose intolerance [37,38]. The studied population had about 86% of overweight adolescents, which may also justify higher insulin values.

When analyzing the data only with overweight patients, a negative correlation was found between PTH and calcium. Other studies

Table 4: Mean values of the anthropometric variables, biochemistry of adolescents with excess weight according to the calcium intake according to the 10th percentile.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Consumption of Calcium</th>
<th>Mean (± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>&lt; 302 mg/dia (n= 8)</td>
<td>14.6 (± 1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥ 302 mg/dia (n= 83)</td>
<td>14.3 (± 1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>&lt; 302 mg/dia (n= 8)</td>
<td>88.2 (± 13.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥ 302 mg/dia (n= 83)</td>
<td>81.6 (± 20.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>&lt; 302 mg/dia (n= 8)</td>
<td>1.61 (± 0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥ 302 mg/dia (n= 83)</td>
<td>1.64 (± 1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>&lt; 302 mg/dia (n= 8)</td>
<td>34.2 (± 5.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥ 302 mg/dia (n= 83)</td>
<td>30.2 (± 5.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC (cm)</td>
<td>&lt; 302 mg/dia (n= 8)</td>
<td>97.5 (± 8.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥ 302 mg/dia (n= 83)</td>
<td>93.4 (± 13.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSR</td>
<td>&lt; 302 mg/dia (n= 8)</td>
<td>0.61 (± 0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥ 302 mg/dia (n= 83)</td>
<td>0.57 (± 0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycemia (mg/dL)</td>
<td>&lt; 302 mg/dia (n= 8)</td>
<td>87.4 (± 9.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥ 302 mg/dia (n= 83)</td>
<td>90.5 (± 14.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb1AC (%)</td>
<td>&lt; 302 mg/dia (n= 8)</td>
<td>5.7 (± 0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥ 302 mg/dia (n= 83)</td>
<td>5.3 (± 0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (μU/mL)</td>
<td>&lt; 302 mg/dia (n= 8)</td>
<td>29.8 (± 14.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥ 302 mg/dia (n= 83)</td>
<td>22.9 (± 13.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>&lt; 302 mg/dia (n= 8)</td>
<td>6.5 (± 3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥ 302 mg/dia (n= 83)</td>
<td>4.4 (± 3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25(OH)D (ng/mL)</td>
<td>&lt; 302 mg/dia (n= 8)</td>
<td>22.9 (± 8.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥ 302 mg/dia (n= 83)</td>
<td>27.9 (± 9.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTH (ng/mL)</td>
<td>&lt; 302 mg/dia (n= 8)</td>
<td>45.3 (± 16.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥ 302 mg/dia (n= 83)</td>
<td>38.0 (± 13.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD: Standard Deviation; BMI: Body Mass Index; WC= Waist Circumference; WCE= Waist-to-Stature Ratio; Hb1AC: Glycemic Hemoglobin; HOMA-IR: Insulin Resistance Index; 25(OH)D: D Vitamin; PTH: Parathormone; IQR: Interquartile Range (IQR).
verify the same relation as Patel et al. [5] who observed that healthy adolescents with calcium intake above the median (> 520 mg / day) had lower values of intact serum PTH for a given serum vitamin D concentration, whereas those with calcium intake below the median had higher values. Steingrimsdottir, et al. [39] showed low vitamin D levels (<10 ng / mL), calcium intake <800 mg / day vs >1200 mg / day, was significantly associated with higher serum PTH (p = 0.04).

The study presented limitations on the size of the sample, which when presenting a reduced number of eutrophic may have reduced to the differences found between the groups.

CONCLUSION

This study showed that adolescents who consumed below the 10th percentile of calcium had a significantly higher mean of Hb1AC and insulin. And it was found that adolescents who consumed less than the median calcium had significantly higher values of PTH, but within the range of normality. And when the eutrophic patients were excluded, we observed that overweight patients consuming below the 10th percentile of calcium presented higher values of BMI. Further studies should be performed to determine the relationship between calcium intake and insulin resistance in adolescents.
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